

## PERCHLOROETHYLENE DRY CLEANERS



## COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE:	ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) RE-INSPECTION (FUI)	COMPLAINT/D ARMS COMPLA	OISCOVERY (CI)	
AIRS ID#: 0112248 DA7	ΓΕ: <u>8/13/2012</u>	ARRIVE: <u>1300</u>	DEPART: <u>1400</u>	
FACILITY NAME: DR	Y CLEAN US			
FACILITY LOCATION	: 3204 W COMMERCIAI	L BLVD		
	FORT LAUDERDALE	33309-3417		
OWNER/AUTHORIZEI Email: ssnessar@gma CONTACT NAME: CI Email: ssnessar@gma ENTITLEMENT PERIO	HARLES MCMORRIS ail.com		PHONE: (305)308-6581 Mobile: (656)515-6306 PHONE: (305)308-6581 Mobile: (656)515-6306	
PART I: INSPECTION  IN COMPLIANCE	COMPLIANCE STATUS (ch		SNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE	
PART II: FACILITY C	LASSIFICATION - Rule 62- only one box in A)	-213.300 FAC		
transfer only, both types, x < (constructed b  3. Existing large dry-to-dry onl transfer only, both types, 14 (constructed b  5. Ineligible for d rop store/our	y, x < 140 gal/yr x < 200 gal/yr < 140 gal/yr pefore 12/9/91)	transfer only, both types, x (constructed of types).  4. New large are dry-to-dry only transfer only, both types, 14	ly, x < 140 gal/yr x < 200 gal/yr < 140 gal/yr on or after 12/9/91)	
	volume of all perchloroethylene was 30.00 gallons.	(perc) purchases made	e in each of the previous 12 months by this dry	

PART III: GENERAL CONTROL REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-213.300 FAC			check 🗹	only one question)		
1. Is all perc, and wastes containing perc, in tightly sealed & impervious containers?	$\boxtimes$	Yes	☐ No	N/A		
2. Are all perc. containers leak free ?	$\boxtimes$	Yes	☐ No	N/A		
3. Are all machine doors kept closed and secured except during loading/unloading?		Yes	☐ No			
4. Are cartridge filters d rained in their housing or in sealed containers for at least 24 hours prior to disposal?	$\boxtimes$	Yes	☐ No	□ N/A		
5. Has each dry cleaning system installed after December 21, 2005 at an area source, routed the air-PCE gas-vapor stream contained within each dry cleaning machine through a refrigerated condenser and passed the air-PCE gas-vapor stream from inside the dry cleaning machine drum through a non-vented carbon adsorber or equivalent control device immediately before the door of the dry cleaning machine is opened? The carbon adsorber must be desorbed in accordance with manufacturer's instructions.		Yes	□ No	⊠ N/A		
6. Is solvent-to-carbon ratios and steam pressure for carbon adsorber beds maintain according to the manufacturer's specifications?		Yes	☐ No	N/A		
PART IV: PROCESS VENT CONTROLS – Rule 62-213.300 FAC (Refer to Part II-A.14. Classification: page 1 of 4, this form)  1. If the f acility classification is an existing small area source, no controls are required. 1	Procee	ed to P	Part V.			
2. If the facility classification is a <u>new small area source</u> , the machine should be equipped with a refrigerated condenser. <b>Complete section A. below.</b>						
3. If the fa cility classification is an <u>existing large area source</u> , the machine should be equipped with either a refrigerated condenser or a carbon adsorber. Complete both sections A and B below. Carbon adsorber must have been installed prior to September 22, 1993						
4. If the facility classification is a <u>new large area source</u> , the machine should be equipped condenser. Complete both sections A and B below.	with	a refri	gerated			
A. Has the responsible official of all <u>existing large area &amp; new sources</u> :			check 🗹 ox for each	-		
1. Equipped all machines with the appropriate vent controls?	. 🖂	Yes	☐ No			
2. Equipped dry-to-dry machines with a closed-loop vapor venting system?	$\boxtimes$	Yes	☐ No	N/A		
3. Equipped the condenser with a diverter valve so airflow will be directed away from the condenser upon opening the door?	$\boxtimes$	Yes	☐ No	□ N/A		
4. Measured and recorded the temperature of the outlet exhaust stream of a refrigerated condenser on a weekly basis?	$\boxtimes$	Yes	☐ No	□ N/A		
5. Repaired or adjusted the equipment within 24 hours if the exhaust temperature of the condenser exceeded 45° F?		Yes	☐ No	□ N/A		
6. Conducted all temperature monitoring after an appropriate cool-down period and after verifying that the coolant had been completely charged?		Yes	☐ No			

PA	PART IV: PROCESS VENT CONTROLS – Rule 62-213.300 FAC (continued)							
B. For all existing large or new large area sources:								
	Is the exhaust temperature on the outlet side of the condenser located on dry-to-dry, reclaimer, and dryer machines measured and recorded on a weekly basis?		Yes		No			
2.	Is the washer exhaus t temperature at the condenser inlet and outlet measured and recorded weekly?		Yes		No		N/A	
	a) Is the temperature differential equal to, or greater than 20° F?		Yes		No		N/A	
3.	Is the perc concentration in the exhaust stream inlet and outlet measured weekly at the end of the final drying cycle while the machine is venting to the adsorber, if machines are equipped exclusively with a carbon adsorber?		Yes		No		N/A	
	a) Is the perc concentration equal to, or less than 100 ppm?		Yes		No		N/A	
4.	Is the sampling port on the carbon adsorber exhaust for measuring perc concentrations at least 8 duct diameters downstream of any bend, contraction, or expansion; is at least 2 duct diameters upstream from any bend, contraction, or expansion; and downstream from no other inlet?		Yes		No		N/A	
5.	Are transfer machines equipped (dryers, reclaimers, and washers) with individual condenser coils?		Yes		No		N/A	
	Conconsor Conc.							
6.	Is airflow routed to the carbon adsorber (if used) at all times?		Yes		No		N/A	
6.			Yes		No		N/A	
6.			Yes		No		N/A	
			(	check x for e	<b></b>	only o	ne	
PA	Is airflow routed to the carbon adsorber (if used) at all times?		(	check x for e	<b></b>	•	ne	
1.	Is airflow routed to the carbon adsorber (if used) at all times?		( bo	check x for e	☑ each c	•	ne	
1. 2.	Is airflow routed to the carbon adsorber (if used) at all times?		(bo	check x for e	☑ each c	•	ne	
1. 2.	Is airflow routed to the carbon adsorber (if used) at all times?		(bo	check x for e	☑ each c	•	ne	
1. 2.	Is airflow routed to the carbon adsorber (if used) at all times?		Yes Yes	check x for e	each c	•	ne n)	
1. 2. 3.	Is airflow routed to the carbon adsorber (if used) at all times?		Yes Yes Yes	check x for e	each con No No No	questio	ne n) N/A	
1. 2. 3.	Is airflow routed to the carbon adsorber (if used) at all times? ————————————————————————————————————		Yes Yes Yes	check x for e	No No No No	questio	ne n) N/A N/A	
1. 2. 3. 4. 5.	ART V: RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-213.300(3) FAC  Are receipts maintained for all perc purchased? ————————————————————————————————————		Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	check x for e	No No No No	questio	ne n) N/A N/A N/A	
1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6.	ART V: RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-213.300(3) FAC  Are receipts maintained for all perc purchased? ————————————————————————————————————		Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	check x for e	No No No No No No No No	questio	ne n) N/A N/A N/A	
1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6.	ART V: RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-213.300(3) FAC  Are receipts maintained for all perc purchased? ————————————————————————————————————		Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	check x for e	No N	questio	ne n) N/A N/A N/A	

PA	ART VI: <u>LEAK DETECTION AND REPAIRS</u> – Rule 62-213.300 FAC		(check 🗹	only one		
1.	What type of leak detection equipment is used to detect leaks?	b	ox for each	question)		
	☐ Halogenated hydrocarbon detector ☐ PCE gas analyzer ☐ None used					
2.	Is the halogenated hydrocarbon detector or PCE gas analyzer operated according to					
	the manufacturer's instructions (manual was available and RO could demonstrate					
	procedure) ?	Yes	☐ No			
3.	For major sources is the halogenated hydrocarbon detector or PCE gas analyzer					
	operated according to EPA Method 21 ?	Yes	☐ No	N/A		
4.	Is the vapor leak inspection conducted by placing the probe inlet at the surface of					
	each component interface where leakage could occur and moving it slowly along					
	the interface periphery? $\boxtimes$	Yes	☐ No			
5.	Is the PCE gas analyzer a flame ionization detector, photo ionization detector, or					
	infrared analyzer capable of detecting vapor concentrations of PCE of 25 parts per					
	million by volume (based on documented specifications) ?	Yes	☐ No	N/A		
6.	Is the <u>halogenated hydrocarbon detector</u> capable of detecting vapor concentrations					
	of PCE of 25 parts per million by volume (based on documented specifications) and					
	indicating a concentration of 25 parts per million by volume or greater by emitting					
	an audible or visual signal that varies as the concentration changes? 🖂	Yes	☐ No	N/A		
7.	Are the following dry cleaning system components inspected weekly for perceptible leaks (sight, sm	nell or	touch) while	le the		
	system is in operation (§63.322(k))?					
	(Inspection with a halogenated hydrocarbon detector or PCE gas analyzer also fulfills the requirement for insp	pection	of perceptib	le leaks)		
	b) Door gaskets and seating  Yes  No N/A h) Stills Y		<ul><li> No</li><li> No</li><li> No</li><li> No</li><li> No</li><li> No</li><li> No</li></ul>	N/A   N/A   N/A   N/A   N/A   N/A		
8.	Are the following dry cleaning system components inspected $\underline{monthly}$ for $\underline{vapor\ leaks}$ using a halogen $\underline{monthly}$ for $\underline{monthly}$ f	enated	hydrocarbo	on detector		
	or PCE gas analyzer while the system is in operation? (Any inspection conducted according to this parag	raph si	hall satisfy th	ne		
	requirements to conduct an inspection for perceptible leaks under $\$63.322(k)$ or $(l)$ )					
	b) Door gaskets and seating   Yes   No   N/A   N/A   N/A   Stills   Yes   Yes   No   N/A   N/A   N/A   N/A   N/A   N/A   Yes	Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	<ul><li>□ No</li><li>□ No</li><li>□ No</li><li>□ No</li><li>□ No</li></ul>	<ul><li>N/A</li><li>N/A</li><li>N/A</li><li>N/A</li><li>N/A</li><li>N/A</li></ul>		

PART VI: LEAK DETECTION AND REPAIRS – Rule 62	-213.300 FAC (continued)	
9. What evidence suggests that leak checks are performed as re  ☐ Leak log documentation ☐ RO Assurances ☒  Explain other:	_	
Elizabeth F. Susky	8/13/2012	
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection	
	8/13/2013	
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Inspection	

**COMMENTS:** In a compliance inspection conducted on 8/13/2012 AQD staff (E. Susky), observed operations at Dry Clean USA. The facility owner was not present for the inspection. The facility has one PERC dry-cleaning machine. The drums of hazardous waste were observed to be in secondary containment, however one full drum was observed to not have proper closure (locking ring around top). The same drum also did not have its accumulation start date on it. The FDEP dry-cleaning calendar was observed to contain proper documentation of leak checks and rolling PERC purchases. The spotting chemicals were not observed in secondary containment. The REMA vacuum was observed to have secondary containment.